

CONTINGENCY THEORY AS A DECISION-MAKING FUNDAMENTAL IN BUSINESS ANALYSIS

Muhamad Reza Pahlefy¹, Tasya Amanda Putri², Aaron Wenedy³

¹²³ Faculty of Administrative Sciences, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia

Correspondence		
Email: krfjhr@gmail.com	No. Telp:	
Submitted: 6 January 2025	Accepted: 15 January 2025	Published: 16 January 2025

ABSTRACT

In the dynamic landscape of organizational decision-making, the effectiveness of frameworks like AIDA, STP, Porter's Five Forces, VRIO, and Ansoff Matrix often depends on situational variables, as highlighted by Contingency Theory. This study investigates the application of Contingency Theory in aligning decision-making and leadership strategies with specific contextual demands. Employing a literature review methodology, data was gathered from credible academic sources to analyze the theoretical underpinnings and practical applications of Contingency Theory in business analysis. Findings reveal that successful decision-making hinges on environmental scanning, strategic fit, and adaptability to dynamic conditions. Moreover, leadership styles guided by contingency principles, such as the Situational Leadership Model, demonstrate the importance of adapting to organizational and environmental complexities. This study underscores the critical role of contingency-based approaches in fostering organizational resilience and effectiveness, offering valuable implications for both practitioners and academics in navigating multifaceted business challenges.

Keywords: Contingency Theory, Decision Making, Leadership

INTRODUCTION

In the dynamic realm of business decision-making, selecting the appropriate framework or approach is a persistent challenge. Various models and theories, such as AIDA for marketing communication, STP for segmentation and targeting, Porter's Five Forces for competitive analysis, Ansoff Matrix for growth strategies, VRIO for resource evaluation, and Value Chain Analysis for operational optimization, have been developed to tackle diverse business issues. (Bolman & Deal, 2009). However, the question of which framework is the "best" often arises, leading to the contingency theory's proposition: there is no universally superior framework; rather, the effectiveness of any framework depends on the specific context and circumstances in which it is applied. (Devi et al., 2020)

Contingency Theory plays a crucial role in influencing managerial decision-making across various business domains, including finance, managerial accounting, marketing, production, and others (Anwar, 2015). This theory emphasizes that there is no "one-size-fits-all" approach in organizational and business decision-making. Decisions must align with situational variables such as industry conditions, organizational structure, resource availability, and competitive landscape. This perspective highlights the importance of situational analysis before adopting any framework or model for problem-solving. For instance, choosing between AIDA and Porter's Five Forces is not about determining which is inherently better but understanding which fits the situation at hand. By acknowledging the uniqueness of each context, Contingency Theory provides a flexible yet structured way to approach decision-making challenges.

This Study explores the foundations of Contingency Theory and its application in business analysis. By employing an analogy-based approach, it illustrates how this theory can serve as a guiding principle in selecting and applying decision-making frameworks to suit specific business needs. Additionally, the study highlights real-world applications, offering insights into how organizations can adapt strategies to dynamic and complex environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Contingency theory has been widely acknowledged as a foundational framework for understanding organizational behavior and decision-making in varying contexts. The theory posits that there is no single optimal way to structure an organization or lead effectively; rather, the best course of action depends on the specific situational variables present (Fiedler, 1967) in (Anukam & Chidinma, 2024). Fiedler's Contingency Model introduced the concept of "situational favorableness," which emphasizes the interplay between leadership style and situational control. It demonstrates how leaders must adapt their methods to align with the environment and team dynamics, fostering greater organizational effectiveness. Additionally, Donaldson (2001) highlighted that contingency theory extends beyond leadership, offering a robust lens for aligning organizational structure with external environmental demands.

Contingency theory which was developed by Tom Burns and G.M. Stalker in Britain, and Paul Lawrence and Jay Lorsch in the United States, states that "there is no one best way to organize". It means that the organizational structures and control systems that managers choose depend on or are contingent on characteristics of the external environment in which the organization operates (Jones, et al., 2003:62). Burns and Stalker 1961 in Lin, C. H. (2024) contributed to this perspective by distinguishing between mechanistic and organic systems, underscoring the importance of flexibility in dynamic environments.

Another critical contribution came from Lawrence and Lorsch 1967 in Terjesen, et al (2012), who emphasized differentiation and integration as key mechanisms for managing environmental complexity. Their work underscores how organizations adapt by balancing specialized subunits and coordination mechanisms. Similarly, Gutterman, (2024). Technological contingency theory stresses the impact of production technology on organizational structure, categorizing firms based on unit, mass, or process production systems. Andersen, (2024), arguing that organizations face simultaneous pressures that require complex adaptive strategies.

More recently, contingency theory has evolved to incorporate a broader range of variables. Tosi and Slocum in Kundu, et al (2017) emphasized the role of environmental uncertainty and its moderating impact on decision-making and organizational outcomes. Meanwhile, Van de Ven and Drazin in Van den Oord, et al (2023) introduced a configurational approach, asserting that contingency relationships are best understood by examining patterns of alignment across multiple dimensions. Furthermore, Mintzberg in Matseshe, et al (2016) linked contingency theory to organizational design, arguing that structural configurations must align with strategy, size, technology, and environment.

In practice, contingency models such as the Situational Leadership Model Hersey & Blanchard, in Kraczla, et al (2025) have operationalized these principles, enabling leaders to adapt their styles to follower readiness levels. This aligns with Dess and Beard's in Hitt, et al (2021) work, which explores the role of the external environment in shaping strategic choices. Collectively, these contributions underscore the enduring relevance of contingency theory as a decision-making fundamental, providing a dynamic framework for aligning organizational elements with environmental and situational demands.

METHODS

This study adopts a literature review approach as its primary method to examine relevant concepts, theories, and previous research findings related to the topic. The research is carried out through the gathering and critical evaluation of credible sources, including academic journals, books, research reports, and other authoritative documents. The goal of this approach is to investigate the core principles of Contingency Theory and its use in business

analysis. Data analysis is performed in a structured and thorough manner to ensure the accuracy and relevance of each source referenced (Neuman, 2014).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In examining the practical application of Contingency Theory, a more nuanced analogy emerges: imagine driving a modern car equipped with adaptive cruise control, lane assist, and terrain modes. On a highway, adaptive systems enable smooth acceleration and maintain consistent speed with minimal intervention. Conversely, on rugged terrain, the driver must activate off-road mode, take manual control, and navigate with heightened awareness. This analogy captures the essence of Contingency Theory, wherein decision-making and leadership strategies must dynamically adjust to environmental conditions, internal organizational structure, and available resources.

Practical Applications in Decision-making

Contingency Theory emphasizes that decision-making frameworks must align with contextual factors. For example, when assessing strategic options for entering a new market, an organization must consider Porter's Five Forces for competitive analysis. However, if the primary challenge lies in customer behavior, employing the AIDA model for marketing communications would be more effective. This underscores the importance of situational alignment, as supported by the configurational approach by Van de Ven and Drazin (in Van den Oord et al., 2023), which highlights the need to align strategies across multiple dimensions to achieve coherence and effectiveness.

Consider a multinational company deciding between centralized and decentralized operations. In a stable environment, a centralized structure ensures consistency, aligns with Mintzberg's design configurations (in Matseshe et al., 2016), and optimizes resource allocation by minimizing redundancies. However, in volatile markets like technology or fashion, a decentralized structure fosters innovation and quicker responses to change. This demonstrates how strategic decisions, such as adopting vertical integration or outsourcing, hinge on environmental uncertainty as emphasized by Tosi and Slocum (1984) in Kundu et al. (2017).

A real-world example is Tesla's decision-making regarding its supply chain. Tesla employs a mix of vertical integration and strategic partnerships. For instance, it manufactures key components like batteries in-house while outsourcing less critical parts (Romero-Hernández et al., 2021). This blend exemplifies a contingency-based approach, optimizing resources based on technological criticality and market dynamics.

Practical Applications in Leadership

As a critical organizational function, leadership also benefits from a contingency-based perspective. The Situational Leadership Model by Hersey and Blanchard (in Kraczla et al., 2025) illustrates this principle by adapting leadership styles to the developmental levels of team members. For instance:

1. In startups, where employees may lack experience but show high enthusiasm, leaders often adopt a directive style to provide clear guidance.
2. In established firms with seasoned teams, a delegative style fosters autonomy and innovation.

This adaptability extends to crisis management. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, organizations faced unprecedented disruptions. Leaders had to shift from long-term strategic thinking to immediate operational concerns. Decision-making frameworks like Dess and Beard's (in Hitt et al., 2021) work on external environmental pressures became essential to guide resource allocation and ensure business continuity.

Moreover, technological advancements have created a new dimension of complexity for leadership. Gutterman's (2024) technological contingency theory underscores how technology shapes leadership strategies. A company employing cutting-edge AI systems for decision-making, for instance, demands leaders who can integrate technological insights into their strategies. This requires balancing technical expertise with the ability to interpret broader organizational goals.

Complex Decision-making Frameworks

Complexity arises when multiple variables interact. For example, combining frameworks like Ansoff Matrix (for growth strategies) with Porter's Five Forces (for competitive analysis) can help organizations navigate multi-faceted challenges. However, these frameworks must be applied judiciously, guided by contingency principles. This involves:

1. Environmental Scanning: Identifying critical variables such as market volatility, customer behavior, and technological trends.
2. Strategic Fit: Ensuring that chosen frameworks align with situational needs. For example, employing VRIO analysis to assess internal resources before expanding into a new market.
3. Dynamic Adjustments: Recognizing that strategies may need realignment as conditions evolve.

Amazon's operational strategy has been marked by its ability to leverage its robust logistics network (a VRIO strength) to gain a competitive edge in highly competitive markets, while also demonstrating a capacity for strategic partnerships and localization when entering unfamiliar emerging markets ("Organizational Agility's Role in the Growth of Amazon," 2019). In markets with high competition, such as the United States and Europe, Amazon has been able to capitalize on its strong logistics infrastructure to offer faster delivery times, a key differentiator in the e-commerce landscape (Rodrigue, 2020)

Leadership in Complex Environments

Modern leadership requires balancing multiple roles—strategist, innovator, and motivator. The configurational approach by Van de Ven and Drazin (in Van den Oord et al., 2023) suggests that leaders must align leadership styles, organizational structures, and cultural dynamics. For instance, in a knowledge-intensive organization, leaders must foster a culture of collaboration and innovation, drawing from Burns and Stalker's (1961) concept of organic systems.

Leaders in such environments often employ hybrid models. For instance, Google's approach to this challenge is notable - the company allows its engineers to devote 20% of their time to independent projects, enabling individual exploration while simultaneously channeling those efforts towards the organization's overarching mission (Şenses & Temoçin, 2018). This approach aligns with Mintzberg's view of structural configurations, ensuring flexibility without losing focus on overarching strategies.

CONCLUSION

The study emphasizes the practical applicability of Contingency Theory in business decision-making and leadership. It highlights that no single framework or strategy universally applies across all organizational contexts. Instead, effective decision-making relies on aligning strategies with situational variables such as market dynamics, organizational resources, and technological advancements. By employing a contingency-based approach, businesses can adapt their strategies to meet diverse challenges, as illustrated through examples like Tesla's supply chain and Amazon's market-specific operations. Similarly, leadership styles benefit

from adaptability, as seen in situational leadership models and responses to crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, T. J. (2024). Adaptive Strategy-making Processes for Long-term Resilience and Sustainable Solutions. In *Sustainable and Resilient Global Practices: Advances in Responsiveness and Adaptation* (pp. 1-15). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Anukam, A. I., & Chidinma, E. (2024). Management Theories Interface In Theoretical Framework Of Research Works: An Empirical Synthesis. *BW Academic Journal*, 22-22.
- Anwar, M. (2015). Contingency Theory and Its Implications to Corporate Financial Planning and Organization Structure. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen (JAM)*, Vol 13 No 3. <https://jurnaljam.ub.ac.id/index.php/jam/article/view/782/736>
- Bolman, L. G., & Deal, T. E. (2009). Battles and beliefs: Rethinking the roles of today's leaders. *Leadership in Action*, 29(5), 14–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lia.1306>
- Devi, S., Nayak, M. M., & Patnaik, S. (2020). Decision-making models and tools: a critical study. *International Journal of Management and Decision Making*, 19(2), 176–176. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmdm.2020.108204>
- Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations*. Sage.
- Gutterman, A. S. (2024). *Organizational Design: Creating an Effective Design for Your Business*. Available at SSRN.
- Hitt, M. A., Sirmon, D. G., Li, Y., Ghobadian, A., Arregle, J. L., & Xu, K. (2021). Institutions, industries and entrepreneurial versus advantage-based strategies: How complex, nested environments affect strategic choice. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 25, 147-188.
- Jones, Gareth, R., and Jennifer, M.G. (2003). *Contemporary Management*. Third Edition. McGraw-Hill.
- Lawrence Neuman, W. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*.
- Lin, C. H. (2024). Application of Contingency Theory to the “Workforce Crisis” in Policing. In *Using Organizational Theory to Study, Explain, and Understand Criminal Legal Organizations* (pp. 49-69). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Matseshe, K. L., & Arasa, R. M. (2016). Structural contingency factors and organization structure: An empirical synthesis. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies*, 3(7), 263-272.
- Organizational agility's role in the growth of Amazon: Some critical success factors | Emerald Insight. (2019). *Strategic Direction*, 35(6), 27–29. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SD>
- Rodrigue, J.-P. (2020). The distribution network of Amazon and the footprint of freight digitalization. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 88, 102825–102825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2020.102825>
- Romero-Hernandez, O., Romero-Hernandez, S., & Shivaani, M. (2021). A Supply Chain Selection Method for Early-Stage Companies Based on an Adapted Quality Function Deployment Optimization Approach. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 133–147. <https://doi.org/10.31387/oscm0450292>
- Terjesen, S., Patel, P. C., & Sanders, N. R. (2012). Managing differentiation-integration duality in supply chain integration. *Decision Sciences*, 43(2), 303-339.

- Kraczla, M., & Wziątek-Staśko, A. (2025). Management, conflict resolution and strategy: coping with stress at work.
- Kundu, A., & Bhattacharya, A. (2017). Dimensions of Uncertainty and its Impact on Performance. *Great Lakes Herald*, 11(1), 60-76.
- Van den Oord, S., Kenis, P., Raab, J., & Cambré, B. (2023). Modes of network governance revisited: Assessing their prevalence, promises, and limitations in the literature. *Public Administration Review*, 83(6), 1564-1598.
- Şenses, B & Temoçin, P. (2018). An Interdisciplinary Study: Quantum Leadership and Hybrid Leadership. *Springer Proceedings in Complexity*, 547–559. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64554-4_39